



## LGPA 2017/18

Accountability Requirements

Bundibugyo District

(Vote Code: 505)

Assessment	Compliant	%
Yes	2	33%
No	4	67%

Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Compliant?
Assessment area: Annual performance contract			
<p>LG has submitted an annual performance contract of the forthcoming year by June 30 on the basis of the PFMAA and LG Budget guidelines for the coming financial year.</p>	xxx	<p>LG submitted the performance contract for 2017/2018 to ministry of finance draft by 20/04/2017 as per copy of counter yellow receipt issued and final on 7/7/2017 as per ministry register, beyond 30th June</p>	No
Assessment area: Supporting Documents for the Budget required as per the PFMA are submitted and available			
<p>LG has submitted a Budget that includes a Procurement Plan for the forthcoming FY (LG PPDA Regulations, 2006).</p>	xxxxx	<p>LG submitted budget as part of the performance contract and the approved procurement plan was accompanying to ministry of finance.</p>	No
Assessment area: Reporting: submission of annual and quarterly budget performance reports			
<p>LG has submitted the annual performance report for the previous FY on or before 31st July (as per LG Budget Preparation Guidelines for coming FY; PFMA Act, 2015)</p>	xxxxx	<p>LG submitted the annual performance report to ministry of finance on 9/8/2017 as per counter copy of yellow receipt issued. This is beyond the required 31st July.</p>	No

<p>LG has submitted the quarterly budget performance report for all the four quarters of the previous FY; PFMA Act, 2015)</p>	<p>xxxxxx</p>	<p>LG made all quarterly submissions Q1 17/11/2016, Q2 28/2/2017, Q3 8/5/2017 and Q4 9/8/2017 to ministry of finance as per counter copies of yellow. This is beyond the required 31st July.</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Assessment area: Audit</p>			
<p>The LG has provided information to the PS/ST on the status of implementation of Internal Auditor General or Auditor General findings for the previous financial year by April 30 (PFMA s. 11 2g). This statement includes actions against all findings where the Auditor General recommended the Accounting Officer to take action (PFMA Act 2015; Local Governments Financial and Accounting Regulations 2007; The Local Governments Act, Cap 243).</p>	<p>xxxxx</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The district submitted the report on 24/3/2017 as indicated by the stamp on the report by the office Internal Auditor Generals.</li> </ul>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>The audit opinion of LG Financial Statement (issued in January) is not adverse or disclaimer</p>	<p>xxxxx</p>	<p>The report from the Auditor General for 2016/17 obtained from their offices indicated that the district is No. 44 on page 200 of the report among 146 DLGs and MCs with unqualified opinion.</p>	<p>Yes</p>



## **LGPA 2017/18**

Crosscutting Performance Measures

Bundibugyo District

(Vote Code: 505)

Score 46/100 (46%)

No.	Performance Measure	Scoring Guide	Score	Justification
Assessment area: Planning, budgeting and execution				
1	<p>All new infrastructure projects in: (i) a municipality; and (ii) all Town Councils in a District are approved by the respective Physical Planning Committees and are consistent with the approved Physical Plans</p> <p>Maximum 4 points for this performance measure.</p>	<p>Evidence that a municipality/district has: • A functional Physical Planning Committee in place that considers new investments on time: score 2.</p> <p>• All new infrastructure investments have approved plans which are consistent with the Physical Plans: score 2.</p>	0	<p>Physical Planning Committee in place composed of 12 members as per CAOs letter dated 28/7/2017 in line with Physical Planning Committee. Sat 19/7/2017, 26/07/2016, 8/12/2017 and 13/10/2017. Started developing district wide physical/structural development plan and even wrote to MoLHUD for guidance and financial support. At profiling stage. So committee not handling any physical / structural plans, only building plans and land issues.</p> <p>No physical / structural plans developed yet, so no submissions so far.</p>
2	<p>The prioritized investment activities in the approved AWP for the current FY are derived from the approved five-year development plan, are based on discussions in annual reviews and budget conferences and have project profiles</p>	<p>• Evidence that priorities in AWP for the current FY are based on the outcomes of budget conferences: score 2.</p> <p>• Evidence that the capital investments in the approved Annual work plan for the current FY are derived from the approved five-year development plan. If different, justification has to be provided and evidence that it was approved by Council. Score 2.</p>	2	<p>Budget conference held on 19/10/2016 and report in place. Projects like classroom construction &amp; latrine construction pg 52 under educ,, Hospital rehabilitation pg 50 and latrine/toilet construction pg 48 under health in AWP are derived from budget conference priorities.</p> <p>Projects like classroom and latrine construction under educ, construction of piped water supply and gravity flow schemes under water pg 62 in AWP 2017/18 are derived from 5 year Development Plan (2015/16-2019/20)</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project profiles have been developed and discussed by TPC for all investments in the AWP as per LG Planning guideline: score 1.</li> </ul>	1	Project profiles for 2017/18 projects seen eg. For rehabilitation of Busani P/S classroom and prepared as per format in planning guidelines 2014, though mixed up with profiles for other years. Discussed in TPC 10/Jan/2017 min.DTPC/Jan/2017.
3	<p>Annual statistical abstract developed and applied</p> <p>Maximum 1 point on this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Annual statistical abstract, with gender disaggregated data has been compiled and presented to the TPC to support budget allocation and decision-making- maximum 1 point.</li> </ul>	1	Statistical Abstract 2016/17 seen and fully signed. Disaggregated population figures, staff figures, council, DSC, S/C populations. S/C of Bubukwanga and Bubandi with high populations are receiving more resources. Abstract was discussed in TPC of 10/Jan/2017 min. 6/Jan/2017
4	<p>Investment activities in the previous FY were implemented as per AWP.</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that all infrastructure projects implemented by the LG in the previous FY were derived from the annual work plan and budget approved by the LG Council: score 2</li> </ul>	2	Projects like spring protection and piped water supply systems pg 34, classroom construction and rehabilitation pg 19, roads maintenance especially in LLGs were implemented as per report 2016/17 and derived from AWP and budget of the same year.
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the investment projects implemented in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end for FY. o 100%: score 4 o 80-99%: score 2 o Below 80%: 0</li> </ul>	2	24 projects sampled, 20 complete and 4 not. Completion is 83%.
5	<p>The LG has executed the budget for construction of investment projects and O&amp;M for all major infrastructure projects and assets during the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this Performance Measure.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that all investment projects in the previous FY were completed within approved budget – Max. 15% plus or minus of original budget: score 2</li> </ul>	0	Gravity flow in Burondo budget was 65,000 and spent 132,462. Figures in 000s. This is outside the budget and beyond the acceptable variance of 15% plus or minus.
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the LG has budgeted and spent at least 80% of O&amp;M budget for infrastructure in the previous FY: score 2</li> </ul>	2	Roads maintenance in LLGs: Kisuba budget was 3,942, and spent 7885. Kirumia budget was 2,150 and spent 4,299. Busaru budget was 6445 and spent 12,910. Bubukwanga budget was 5,497 and spent 10,994. Figures in 000s. This is above 80%

Assessment area: Human Resource Management

6	<p>LG has substantively recruited and appraised all Heads of Departments</p> <p>Maximum 5 points on this Performance Measure.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that HoDs have been appraised as per guidelines issued by MoPS during the previous FY: score 2</li> </ul>	0	<p>Four (4) out of the eight (8) HoDs in post have the appraisals done as per the personal files i.e. DNRO file no. CR/D/10094, DEO file no. CR/D/10078, SCDO file no. CR/D/10104 and DPMO. The others have no evidence of appraisal for 2016/17 FY on file.</p>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the LG has filled all HoDs positions substantively: score 3</li> </ul>	0	<p>Out of the 8 HoDs in post, three (3) including the DCAO are substantively appointed as per the personal files i.e. DCAO, DEO and CFO. The other five (5) are assigned duties. The District has neither recruited the head of the new department of Trade, Industry and Local Economic Development nor assigned duties to anybody.</p>
7	<p>The LG DSC has considered all staff that have been submitted for recruitment, confirmation and disciplinary actions during the previous FY.</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that 100 percent of staff submitted for recruitment have been considered: score 2</li> </ul>	2	<p>The District had no DSC during the 2016/17 FY to which submissions would be made. This was due to the political and legal challenges that surrounded the appointment of a new DSC since the expiry of the old one in 2014 as per communications seen on file e.g. Solicitor General's legal opinion dated 11th January 2017.</p>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that 100 percent of staff submitted for confirmation have been considered: score 1</li> </ul>	1	<p>The District had no DSC during the 2016/17 FY to which submissions would be made. This was due to the political and legal challenges that surrounded the appointment of a new DSC since the expiry of the old one in 2014 as per communications seen on file e.g. Solicitor General's legal opinion dated 11th January 2017.</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that 100 percent of staff submitted for disciplinary actions have been considered: score 1</li> </ul>	1	<p>The District had no DSC during the 2016/17 FY to which submissions would be made. This was due to the political and legal challenges that surrounded the appointment of a new DSC since the expiry of the old one in 2014 as per communications seen on file e.g. Solicitor General's legal opinion dated 11th January 2017. However minor disciplinary cases were handled by the Rewards and Sanctions Committee appointed by CAO e.g. cases of interdiction, abscondment etc.</p>
8	Staff recruited and retiring access the salary and pension payroll respectively within two months	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that 100% of the staff recruited during the previous FY have accessed the salary payroll not later than two months after appointment: score 3</li> </ul>	3	<p>There were no recruitments made during FY 2016/17. No body failed to access the payroll not later than 2 months.</p>
	Maximum 5 points on this Performance Measure.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that 100% of the staff that retired during the previous FY have accessed the pension payroll not later than two months after retirement: score 2</li> </ul>	0	<p>During 2016/17 FY, six (6) staff retired. By the time of assessment, none had accessed payroll not later than two months from the date of retirement i.e. 0%. Three (3) accessed payroll between 10 – 15 months while the other three (3) did not.</p>
Assessment area: Revenue Mobilization				
9	<p>The LG has increased LG own source revenues in the last financial year compared to the one before the previous financial year (last FY year but one)</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this Performance Measure.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If increase in OSR from previous FY but one to previous FY is more than 10% : score 4 points</li> <li>• If the increase is from 5 -10% : score 2 point</li> <li>• If the increase is less than 5% : score 0 points.</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• From the Final accounts Actual revenue collection in 2015/16 page 11 was 241,046, 338 as compared to actual collection in 2016/17 page 16 which was 165,553,107, a decrease of 75,493,231, equivalent of 31 % decrease.</li> </ul>



10	<p>LG has collected local revenues as per budget (collection ratio)</p> <p>Maximum 2 points on this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If revenue collection ratio (the percentage of local revenue collected against planned for the previous FY (budget realisation) is within +/- 10% : then 2 points. If more than +/- 10% : zero points.</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>From the Final Accounts page 16, the revenue budgeted in 2016/17 was 304,312,000 But actual collection was 165,553,107.</li> <li>Ratio = <math>165,553,107/304,312,000 \times 100 = 54 - 100 = 46 \%</math></li> <li>Or Actual collection was less than budgeted amount by <math>165,553,107 - 304,312,000 = (138,758,893) / 304,312,000</math></li> </ul> <p>An equivalent of 46 %</p>
11	<p>Local revenue administration, allocation and transparency</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the District/Municipality has remitted the mandatory LLG share of local revenues: score 2</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The district remits only LST to LLG. LLG remits to district 35% and retain 65% of OSR collected</li> <li>From Trial balance annex 1 of Final accounts, actual LST collecti</li> <li>The district did not remit to the Sub counties, claiming they have never submitted their request and data for staff in their sub counties and that the Sub Counties owe them 35 % of their share of local revenue they collect.</li> <li>No documentation was availed for verification during the assessment to substantiate the above argument.</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the LG is not using more than 20% of OSR on council activities: score 2</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Of the 165,553,107 OSR collected, total spent on council allowances from the Tribal Balance annex 1 of the Final accounts = <math>56,191,000 = 34 \%</math>.</li> <li>No ledger for Council emolument is maintained and it was not possible to apportion how much was from unconditional grant and OSR.</li> </ul>
Assessment area: Procurement and contract management				

12

The LG has in place the capacity to manage the procurement function

Maximum 4 points on this performance measure.

- Evidence that the District has the position of a Senior Procurement Officer and Procurement Officer (if Municipal: Procurement Officer and Assistant Procurement Officer) substantively filled: score 2

2

Senior Procurement Officer Position Substantive vide;

a. CAO's Letter dated 15/12/2015, DSC Minute No. 102/DSC/2015(1) signed and Stamped.

b. Assistant Procurement Officer Letter dated 23/5/2008 CR/156/1 Minute No. 60/DSC/2008 signed CAO

The Assistant Procurement Officer has been recommended for the appointment of a procurement Officer.

- Evidence that the TEC produced and submitted reports to the Contracts Committee for the previous FY: score 1

1

TEC Reports are based on list of projects/Procurements. Sampled files:

- Bund505/Wrks/2016-17/00137 Construction of Protected water Springs at Busenge and Bufeme in Kusubba S/C, TEC Report dated 17 April 2017, 3 members.
- Bund505/Supls/2016-17/00016 Supply of Laptops (2) and Printer to Bund505/Wrks/2016-17/00114 Construction of a 5-stance Drainable VIP Latrine at Kisubba P/s under SFG TEC report dated 28th /10/2016
- Kirumya S/c and Ntandi T/c, dated 5/12/2016 with 4 TEC members

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Committee considered recommendations of the TEC and provide justifications for any deviations from those recommendations: score 1</li> </ul>	1	<p>DCC approved the TEC recommendation vide;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bund505/Wrks/2016-17/00114 Construction of a 5-stance Drainable VIP Latrine at Kisubba P/s under SFG. CC meeting dated 15th /11/2016 approved TEC recommendations vide Minute 47/BDCC/2016-17/(a) M/s KAKE Corporates LTD, 26,618,265 UGX contract period 3 month, No deviation.</li> <li>• Bund505/Supls/2016-17/00016Supply of Laptops (2) and Printer to Bund505/Wrks/2016-17/00114 Construction of a 5-stance Drainable VIP Latrine at Kisubba P/s under SFG TEC report dated 28th /10/2016. CC meeting held on 4th May 2017 approved TEC recommendation vide minute 176/BDCC/2016-17 Approval of Contracts to SECCO General Co LTD, Amount, 6,315,750 UGX DDEG funding</li> </ul>																				
13	<p>The LG has a comprehensive Procurement and Disposal Plan covering infrastructure activities in the approved AWP and is followed.</p> <p>Maximum 2 points on this performance measure.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a) Evidence that the procurement and Disposal Plan for the current year covers all infrastructure projects in the approved annual work plan and budget and b) evidence that the LG has made procurements in previous FY as per plan (adherence to the procurement plan) for the previous FY: score 2</li> </ul>	0	<p>Bundibugyo District Procurement plan 2016-17 dated</p> <p>Sampled project: Bund505/DRWCGs/2016-17/00104: Extension of Kikyo Gravity flow scheme to Bundibuturo Phase II</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Plan dates</td> <td>Vs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Actual dates</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bid Invitation</td> <td>No date Vs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/9/2016</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bid Closing &amp; Opening</td> <td>No date Vs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10/10/2016</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TEC</td> <td>No date vs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>27/10/2016</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Award by CC</td> <td>No date vs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>17/11/2016</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>While most projects have planned dates this particular one didn't have planned dates, the SPO confirms that it was in error on their part not to review the PP</p>	Plan dates	Vs	Actual dates		Bid Invitation	No date Vs	12/9/2016		Bid Closing & Opening	No date Vs	10/10/2016		TEC	No date vs	27/10/2016		Award by CC	No date vs	17/11/2016	
Plan dates	Vs																							
Actual dates																								
Bid Invitation	No date Vs																							
12/9/2016																								
Bid Closing & Opening	No date Vs																							
10/10/2016																								
TEC	No date vs																							
27/10/2016																								
Award by CC	No date vs																							
17/11/2016																								

14

The LG has prepared bid documents, maintained contract registers and procurement activities files and adheres with established thresholds.

Maximum 6 points on this performance measure

- For current FY, evidence that the LG has prepared 80% of the bid documents for all investment/infrastructure by August 30: score 2

0

Bid documents for Investment/Infrastructure project planned are 40 in PP 2017/18  
The PP Bid document preparation are dated 8/08/2016, however the sampled project for review Bund505/DRWCGs/2016-17/00104: cover page is dated 6th/09/2016, Contractor; M/s K. David and Friends, Amount 141,341,220 UGX

- For Previous FY, evidence that the LG has an updated contract register and has complete procurement activity files for all procurements: score 2

2

Bundibugyo District Local Government Contract Register started in FY 2016/17 updated with projects from previous FY to Current FY. Signed and stamped by the Senior procurement Officer.

- For previous FY, evidence that the LG has adhered with procurement thresholds (sample 5 projects): score 2.

2

National Bidding Above 50 million  
Bund505/Wrks/2016-17/00128  
Renovation of Bundibugyo District Local Government Block Phase 1 M/s Kake Corporation Ltd amount 49,576,275 UGX.  
Selective Bidding 30 million  
Bund505/Supls/2016-17/00012 Supply of 35 Desks to Busaru P/s in Busaru S/c, M/s Ambiyious Youth Development Association, Amount 4,760,000 UGX  
Micro Bidding  
Force Account Kirumya S/c Printer 850,000 UGX and Generator 900,000 UGX. Signed Senior Assistant Secretary dated 20th 12/2017

15	<p>The LG has certified and provided detailed project information on all investments</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that all works projects implemented in the previous FY were appropriately certified – interim and completion certificates for all projects based on technical supervision: score 2</li> </ul>	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bund505/Wrks/2016-17/00114 Construction of a 5-Stance Drainable Latrine at Karambi P/s, Completed on 13/06/2017. Certificate: 13th/06/2017 signed DLG engineer</li> <li>Bund505/Wrks/2016-17/00114 Construction of a 5-stance Drainable VIP Latrine at Kisubba P/s under SFG; Inspection report dated 28th 02/2017, Superintendent of Works Certificates: 13th 06/2017</li> <li>Bund505/Wrks/2016-17/00128 Renovation of Bundibugyo District Local Government Block Phase 1 M/s Kake Corporation Ltd amount 49,576,275 UGX. Certificate dated 30th /06/2017</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that all works projects for the current FY are clearly labelled (site boards) indicating: the name of the project, contract value, the contractor; source of funding and expected duration: score 2</li> </ul>	0	<p>Only the renovation of the Administration Block has a writing on the Fraser board but incomplete with no duration, contractor and amount. Bund505/Wrks/2016-17/00128 Renovation of Bundibugyo District Local Government Block Phase 1 M/s Kake Corporation Ltd amount 49,576,275 UGX.</p>
Assessment area: Financial management				
16	<p>The LG makes monthly and up to-date bank reconciliations</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the LG makes monthly bank reconciliations and are up to-date at the time of the assessment: score 4</li> </ul>	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bank reconciliations are done on monthly basis as verified from cash book sampled for: UNFPA on 24/1/2018, UWEP on 22/1/2018; Treasury Single Account on 31/1/2018</li> </ul>

17	<p>The LG made timely payment of suppliers during the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum 2 points on this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If the LG makes timely payment of suppliers during the previous FY – no overdue bills (e.g. procurement bills) of over 2 months: score 2.</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is no payment claim registers and could not easily determine timely payment to creditors.</li> </ul>
18	<p>The LG executes the Internal Audit function in accordance with the LGA section 90 and LG procurement regulations</p> <p>Maximum 6 points on this performance measure.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the LG has a substantive Senior Internal Auditor and produced all quarterly internal audit reports for the previous FY: score 3.</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The district has a substantively appointed District Internal Auditor, by minute No. 11/DSC./2011 (1). Dated 18/7/2011</li> <li>• All the 2 staff in the unit were not available during the time of assessment. Reports presented were not signed.</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the LG has provided information to the Council and LG PAC on the status of implementation of internal audit findings for the previous financial year i.e. follow up on audit queries: score 2.</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DPAC was not operational and members were approved by Council on 1/4/2016 Min. No.5/BDLGC/4/2016 and appointment issued by CAO on 4/4/2016. Details in file No. CR/2146. Opened on 8/8/2016, Subject DPAC</li> <li>• Two PAC minutes for meetings held from 1-3, November 2017 and 5/10/2017 were not signed at the time of the assessment</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that internal audit reports for the previous FY were submitted to LG Accounting Officer, LG PAC and LG PAC has reviewed them and followed-up: score 1</li> </ul>	0	<p>No evidence was provided as proof of submission to the relevant authorities.</p>

19	<p>The LG maintains a detailed and updated assets register</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the LG maintains an up-dated assets register covering details on buildings, vehicle, etc. as per format in the accounting manual: score 4</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Assets register does not conform to the format provided in PFMA manual 2007, both Motor Vehicle and Land are just listed.</li> <li>• Motor vehicles only have registration Numbers, and make, leaving a lot of information uncaptured.</li> <li>• IFMIS Assets register could not be verified because the system was down at the time of the assessment.</li> </ul>
20	<p>The LG has obtained an unqualified or qualified Audit opinion</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>Quality of Annual financial statement from previous FY:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• unqualified audit opinion: score 4</li> <li>• Qualified: score 2</li> <li>• Adverse/disclaimer: score 0</li> </ul>	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bundibugyo District local government obtained unqualified opinion as reflected in the Auditor General Report on page 200 and No. 44 from the list.</li> </ul>
Assessment area: Governance, oversight, transparency and accountability				
21	<p>The LG Council meets and discusses service delivery related issues</p> <p>Maximum 2 points on this performance measure</p>	<p>Evidence that the Council meets and discusses service delivery related issues including TPC reports, monitoring reports, performance assessment results and LG PAC reports for last FY: score 2</p>	2	<p>Council sat 19/05/2017 under min. 07/BDLGC/2017 discussed and approved budget estimates 2017/18 totalling 23,280,777,000/=. Also sat 28/04/2017 discussed boards and commissions. Sat 28/03/2017 discussed work plans. Then 27/02/2017 discussed reports and approved Clients Charter, Private wing at hospital and Auditor General's reports.</p>
22	<p>The LG has responded to the feedback/complaints provided by citizens</p> <p>Maximum 2 points on this Performance Measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that LG has designated a person to coordinate response to feedback (grievance /complaints) and responded to feedback and complaints: score 2.</li> </ul>	0	<p>No person has been designated yet.</p>

23	The LG shares information with citizens (Transparency)	Evidence that the LG has published: • The LG Payroll and Pensioner Schedule on public notice boards and other means: score 2	0	Payroll and pension schedule were not published at the time of assessment.
	Total maximum 4 points on this Performance Measure	• Evidence that the procurement plan and awarded contracts and amounts are published: score 1	1	Best evaluated bidder notice dated 12/Oct/2017 seen. For example Renovation of Bundibugyo Administration block awarded to KAKE Corporation Ltd at 46,981,620/= and rehabilitation of Bundibugyo hospital to Seeco General Hardware and Construction Ltd at 289,978,292/=
		• Evidence that the LG performance assessment results and implications, are published e.g. on the budget website for the previous year (from budget requirements): score 1.	0	N/A.
24	The LGs communicates guidelines, circulars and policies to LLGs to provide feedback to the citizens	• Evidence that the HLG have communicated and explained guidelines, circulars and policies issued by the national level to LLGs during previous FY: score 1	0	No evidence of communication was provided.
	Maximum 2 points on this performance measure	• Evidence that LG during previous FY has conducted discussions (e.g. municipal urban fora, barazas, radio programmes etc..) with the public to provide feed-back on status of activity implementation: score 1.	0	The district officials purportedly participated in community discussions through Barazas organised by partners. But there was no documentary evidence.
Assessment area: Social and environmental safeguards				



25	<p>The LG has mainstreamed gender into their activities and planned activities to strengthen women's roles</p> <p>Maximum 4 points on this performance measure.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the LG gender focal person has provided guidance and support to sector departments to mainstream gender into their activities score 2.</li> </ul>	0	<p>Second District Development Plan (DDPII) 2015/16-2019/2020 Signed by CAO and LC V chairperson page 69-74. Gender Analysis, cause effects and Strategies. Specific proposed projects in the project profile do not have Gender Concerns</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report on Dissemination of Gender Guidelines to Heads of departments, dated 3/5/2017 signed by the Gender Focal Person.</li> <li>Minutes of CBS department held on 10th/10/2016 Minute 3/CBSD/May/2016 Departmental Reports signed by the DCDO and Secretary</li> </ul> <p>The Score for a zero is because the main source document the DDP does not have Gender Concerns and mitigation in the planned investments for the district.</p>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that gender focal point has planned activities for current FY to strengthen women's roles and that more than 90% of previous year's budget for gender activities has been implemented: score 2.</li> </ul>	0	<p>Budget estimates for the FY 2016/17 page 37-39 Allocation Gender mainstreaming 108107- Allocation 36,000,000 UGX page 38. Performance Contract Acknowledgment MoFPED 15/9/2017 page 29</p> <p>Budget estimates for the FY 2017/18 page 37-39 Allocation Gender mainstreaming 108107- Allocation 2,000,000 UGX page 38.</p> <p>Bundibugyo Quarterly Performance Report Q4 2016/17 Signed CAO Acknowledgement receipt MoFPED dated 9th August 2017 page 112 Planned Output 36,000,000 UGX Expenditure 30,000,000 UGX a performance of 83.3%</p>

LG has established and maintains a functional system and staff for environmental and social impact assessment and land acquisition

Maximum 6 points on this performance measure

- Evidence that environmental screening or EIA where appropriate, are carried out for activities, projects and plans and mitigation measures are planned and budgeted for: score 2

2

Environment Inspection Form dated 15th 02/2017 Harugale S/c Modern Life P/s Routine Inspection.

Second District Development Plan (DDPII) 2015/16-2019/2020 Signed by CAO and LC V chairperson. Project profiles with environment impact assessment and Mitigation measures page 137-207

- Strategic Management plan for DDP dated 21/08/2017 Rehabilitation of Burondo GFS, costed mitigation 19,420,000 UGX to build a retainer wall.
- Reconstruction of GFS Ndugutu Phase I Inspection report dated 2/2/2017 Mitigation costed 4,076,000 UGX.
- Extension of Kikyo GFs at Bundi butuuro Phase II, Mitigation cost 2,796,000 UGX dated 30th April 2018
- Monitoring Report for the extension of Kikyo GFS Bundibutuuro Phase II dated 4th July 2017

All EIA are sub constructed , the district only does reviews due to legal provision

- Evidence that the LG integrates environmental and social management plans in the contract bid documents: score 1

1

Bund505/DRWCGs/2016-17/00104 Extension of Kikyo GFS to Bundibutuuro Parish 6th/09/2016, Contractor; M/s K. David and Friends, Amount 141,341,220 UGX BOQ item 4, 4.01-4.06 with Cost indicated

- Evidence that all projects are implemented on land where the LG has proof of ownership (e.g. a land title, agreement etc.): score 1

1

- Budibuyo District Local Government Land Donation Agreement for water Supply and sanitation facility Bundijogya I dated 15/11/2016 signed by LC1.
- Authority to Utilize part of Butama HCII Land S/C Chief letter to District Water Officer received date 12/01/2017

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Evidence that all completed projects have Environmental and Social Mitigation Certification Form completed and signed by Environmental Officer: score 2</li></ul>	2	Certificate dated 21/12/2017 signed by Environment Officer and stamped on Rehabilitation of Buhuundu-Ngamba Road (5.5km) in Ntotoro S/c. Periodic Maintenance of 13.8km road in Kisubba S/c signed 18th June 2017
--	--	---	---	---



## **LGPA 2017/18**

Educational Performance Measures

Bundibugyo District

(Vote Code: 505)

Score 61/100 (61%)

No.	Performance Measure	Scoring Guide	Score	Justification
Assessment area: Human Resource Management				
1	<p>The LG education department has budgeted and deployed teachers as per guidelines (a Head Teacher and minimum of 7 teachers per school)</p> <p>Maximum 8 for this performance measure</p>	<p>• Evidence that the LG has budgeted for a Head Teacher and minimum of 7 teachers per school (or minimum a teacher per class for schools with less than P.7) for the current FY: score 4</p> <p>• Evidence that the LG has deployed a Head Teacher and minimum of 7 teachers per school for the current FY: score 4</p>	4	<p>• The performance contract for 2017/18, pg 20 shows a budget for 984 teachers, however, they're still operating at the structure of 972 for FY 2016/17, accounting to a minimum average of 9 teachers per class.</p> <p>• Every school out of 107 schools in the LG has a head teacher by appointment or assignment as seen on deployment staff lists (D), but does not meet a minimum of seven teachers per school in some instances. Example of 5 sample; Bupomboli p/s DP- 13, Bundibuturo p/s DP- 5, Bunyaruta p/s DP- 8, Manguni p/s DP- 4, Katsolima p/s DP- 6.</p>
2	<p>LG has substantively recruited all primary school teachers where there is a wage bill provision</p> <p>Maximum 6 for this performance measure</p>	<p>• Evidence that the LG has filled the structure for primary teachers with a wage bill provision o If 100% score 6 o If 80 - 99% score 3 o If below 80% score 0</p>	3	<p>• The approved structure for staff 2017/18, indicates 972 teachers,. However, the currently filled positions are 884 based on the wage bill and on payroll as at 31/12/2017.</p> <p>• <math>884/972*100= 91\%</math>.</p>

3	<p>LG has substantively recruited all positions of school inspectors as per staff structure, where there is a wage bill provision.</p> <p>Maximum 6 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the LG has substantively filled all positions of school inspectors as per staff structure, where there is a wage bill provision: score 6</li> </ul>	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The approved and adopted department staff structure indicates 3 positions of school inspectors filled.</li> </ul>
4	<p>The LG Education department has submitted a recruitment plan covering primary teachers and school inspectors to HRM for the current FY.</p> <p>Maximum 4 for this performance measure</p>	<p>Evidence that the LG Education department has submitted a recruitment plan to HRM for the current FY to fill positions of Primary Teachers: score 2</p>	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence of Advert no.01/2017 dated November, 8th 2017, intend to recruit 53 teachers,</li> <li>• However the recruitment plan submitted to HR intend to fill 123 teachers, Pg 5&amp;6 of the recruitment plan in the performance contract 2017/18.</li> </ul>
		<p>Evidence that the LG Education department has submitted a recruitment plan to HRM for the current FY to fill positions of School Inspectors: score 2</p>	2	<p>schools inspectors posts are full.</p>

5	<p>The LG Education department has conducted performance appraisal for school inspectors and ensured that performance appraisal for all primary school head teachers is conducted during the previous FY.</p> <p>Maximum 6 for this performance measure</p>	<p>Evidence that the LG Education department appraised school inspectors during the previous FY • 100% school inspectors: score 3</p>	3	<p>The District has three (3) school inspectors. All have their appraisals done save for DIS as per the personal files i.e. 100% appraisal done.</p>
		<p>Evidence that the LG Education department appraised head teachers during the previous FY. • 90% - 100%: score 3 • 70% - 89%: score 2 • Below 70%: score 0</p>	0	<p>Three (3) out of 10 sampled head teachers were appraised. The other seven (7) had no evidence of appraisal (performance agreements and reports) completed and endorsed by their supervisors (Sub-County Chief and DEO) i.e. 30% appraisal done.</p>

Assessment area: Monitoring and Inspection

6	<p>The LG Education Department has effectively communicated and explained guidelines, policies, circulars issued by the national level in the previous FY to schools</p> <p>Maximum 3 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the LG Education department has communicated all guidelines, policies, circulars issued by the national level in the previous FY to schools: score 1</li> </ul>	1	<p>Circulars from MOES are evident by;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Press release statement, New Vision November, 11th 2017; unregistered/unlicensed schools, deadline by 31/12/2017</li> <li>• School feeding program in education institutions, dated 5/5/2017; signed by PS. MoES.</li> <li>• NIRA: Non provision of data required by MoES for preparation of IPFs for 2018/19.</li> </ul>
---	--	--	---	---

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the LG Education department has held meetings with primary school head teachers and among others explained and sensitised on the guidelines, policies, circulars issued by the national level, including on school feeding: score 2</li> </ul>	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CAO/DEOs meeting with head teachers and directors of schools; dated 9/2/2017; Min.3-7/2017: Registration of private schools.</li> <li>Submission of statistical returns for schools for preparation of IPFs ; dated 26/4/2017, signed by DEO.</li> </ul>
7	<p>The LG Education Department has effectively inspected all private and public primary schools</p> <p>Maximum 12 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that all private and public primary schools have been inspected at least once per term and reports produced: o 100% - score 12 o 90 to 99% - score 10 o 80 to 89% - score 8 o 70 to 79% - score 6 o 60 to 69% - score 3 o 50 to 59% score 1 o Below 50% score 0.</li> </ul>	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Local government has 107 government aided and 83 private schools registered, totalling to 190schools;</li> <li>Qtr 4; inspected 23 with the help of world vision, and 90 on usual routine inspection.</li> <li>Total inspection <math>(23+90)/190*100 = 59\%</math></li> </ul>
8	<p>LG Education department has discussed the results/reports of school inspections, used them to make recommendations for corrective actions and followed recommendations</p> <p>Maximum 10 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the Education department has discussed school inspection reports and used reports to make recommendations for corrective actions during the previous FY: score 4</li> </ul>	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Department meeting discussed report about absenteeism due to long distances from homes of residence to schools in hard to reach areas.</li> <li>Recommendations from department meeting resulted to transfers/ redeployment to schools nearer to their homes; Min.no.5/18 on 2/1/2018.</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the LG Education department has submitted school inspection reports to the Directorate of Education Standards (DES) in the Ministry of Education and Sports (MoES): Score 2</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No evidence seen for submission of reports</li> <li>From DES matrix chart indicates no report submitted.</li> </ul>



		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the inspection recommendations are followed-up: score 4</li> </ul>	4	inspectors' recommendations on teacher's absenteeism in Izahura p/s staff meeting min on 5/12/2017. Then it was ruled by DEO in a meeting Min.2/12/017 to display teachers attendance in staff rooms office.
9	<p>The LG Education department has submitted accurate/consistent reports/date for school lists and enrolment as per formats provided by MoES</p> <p>Maximum 10 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the LG has submitted accurate/consistent data: o List of schools which are consistent with both EMIS reports and OBT: score 5</li> </ul>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The EMIS report from DEOs office has 107 govt primary schools, that were submitted MoES; and are consistent with the schools lists in performance contract.</li> </ul>
		<p>Evidence that the LG has submitted accurate/consistent data: • Enrolment data for all schools which is consistent with EMIS report and OBT: score 5</p>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>EMIS enrolment data shows total of 48,634 pupils from govt aided schools submitted to MoES; which is far above the projected total of 46,340 pupils in the performance contract pg 20.</li> <li>The totals are not consistent.</li> </ul>

Assessment area: Governance, oversight, transparency and accountability

10	<p>The LG committee responsible for education met, discussed service delivery issues and presented issues that require approval to Council</p> <p>Maximum 4 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the council committee responsible for education met and discussed service delivery issues including inspection, performance assessment results, LG PAC reports etc...during the previous FY: score 2</li> </ul>	2	Social Services Committee which is also in charge education and health sitting 10/05/2017 discussed lightly the 2017/18 implementation plan and budget under min.04/SSSC/05/2017 which was approved in council of 19/05/17. Also sat 26/10/2016 and discussed sectoral reports.
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the education sector committee has presented issues that requires approval to Council: score 2</li> </ul>	2	Presented budget and proposed work plan 2017/18 to council for approval only.

11	<p>Primary schools in a LG have functional SMCs</p> <p>Maximum 5 for this performance measure</p>	<p>Evidence that all primary schools have functional SMCs (established, meetings held, discussions of budget and resource issues and submission of reports to DEO)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 100% schools: score 5</li> <li>• 80 to 99% schools: score 3</li> <li>• Below 80% schools: score 0</li> </ul>	3	<p>From SMC file sampled in DEOs office, indicates SMC's functionality evidenced by appointment letters.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Report files accessed and reviewed but few submitted reports to DEOs office.</li> <li>• Minutes discussing resource issues were seen in all reports.</li> </ul>
12	<p>The LG has publicised all schools receiving non-wage recurrent grants</p> <p>Maximum 3 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the LG has publicised all schools receiving non-wage recurrent grants e.g. through posting on public notice boards: score 3</li> </ul>	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Department of education displayed on notice board 107 UPE schools received 160,008,761/= capitation grants for term III 2017.</li> </ul>
Assessment area: Procurement and contract management				
13	<p>The LG Education department has submitted procurement requests, complete with all technical requirements, to PDU that cover all items in the approved Sector annual work plan and budget</p> <p>Maximum 4 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the sector has submitted procurement requests to PDU that cover all investment items in the approved Sector annual work plan and budget on time by April 30: score 4</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Basing on approved sector AWP for 2017/18 procurement requests for constructions of Karambi and Kaku p/s were seen. The requests for Kaku were prepared and signed by user department on 15/8/2017 and submitted and received by CAO &amp; PDU on 23/8/2017.</li> <li>• While for Karambi p/s classrooms project was prepared on 9/8/2017 and submitted to CAO &amp; PDU on 9/8/2017.</li> </ul>

14	<p>The LG Education department has certified and initiated payment for supplies on time</p> <p>Maximum 3 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the LG Education departments timely (as per contract) certified and recommended suppliers for payment: score 3 points</li> </ul>	0	<p>1) Construction teacher's house at Butoogo P.7 school in under SFG worth 151,153,900 by M/S Kenganzi Agencies Ltd</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contract date: 11/2/2015</li> <li>Certificate No. 3: date 16/6/2016.</li> <li>Payment requisition date by DEO 10/11/2016</li> <li>Payment date: 30/11/2016</li> <li>Voucher No PV-ED00144</li> </ul> <p>Payment was delayed as per the time lag between certification and payment.</p> <p>2) Construction of 5 Stance VIP Latrine with Urinal at Bundimulinga p.7 School in Nyahuka Town Council worth 26,848,110 by M/S STK and brothers Co Ltd.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contract date: 21/11/2016</li> <li>Certificate No 1 date 9/1/2017</li> <li>Payment date: 30/1/2017</li> <li>Voucher No PV-ED00264</li> </ul>
Assessment area: Financial management and reporting				
15	<p>The LG Education department has submitted annual reports (including all quarterly reports) in time to the Planning Unit</p> <p>Maximum 4 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the department submitted the annual performance report for the previous FY (with availability of all four quarterly reports) to the Planner by mid-July for consolidation: score 4</li> </ul>	0	<p>Q1 14/11/2016, Q2 23/02/2017, Q3 4/5/2017 and Q4 4/8/2017 from planners records which is beyond the required mid-July.</p>

16	<p>LG Education has acted on Internal Audit recommendation (if any)</p> <p>Maximum 4 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the sector has provided information to the internal audit on the status of implementation of all audit findings for the previous financial year o If sector has no audit query score 4 o If the sector has provided information to the internal audit on the status of implementation of all audit findings for the previous financial year: score 2 points o If all queries are not responded to score 0</li> </ul>	4	<p>No reports/ evidence provided by the audit department at the time of assessment for verification for any response to audit issues raised</p>
----	---	---	---	---

Assessment area: Social and environmental safeguards

17	<p>LG Education Department has disseminated and promoted adherence to gender guidelines</p> <p>Maximum 5 points for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the LG Education department in consultation with the gender focal person has disseminated guidelines on how senior women/men teacher should provide guidance to girls and boys to handle hygiene, reproductive health, life skills etc...: Score 2</li> </ul>	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Education department in collaboration with gender organised training on Gender, environment and sanitation compliance in schools; dated 15/9/2017, signed by DEO; themes include:  Gender mainstreaming, Environmental guidelines, and Water Hygeine and Sanitation.</li> <li>• Gender mainstreaming and school feeding program, Minute no.2/2017: dated 7/12/2017</li> </ul>
----	--	--	---	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that LG Education department in collaboration with gender department have issued and explained guidelines on how to manage sanitation for girls and PWDs in primary schools: score 2</li> </ul>	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Training on Gender, environment and sanitation compliance in schools; Sub theme III: WASH, emphasised issues of Hand washing after toilets; Gender segregated latrines, among others.</li> <li>• Implementation of government gender policies by recruiting of special needs education officer.</li> <li>• Implementing MoES structural designs in specifications for contractors to include ramps and iron support bars in toilets.</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the School Management Committee meet the guideline on gender composition: score 1</li> </ul>	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SMCs adhered to gender guidelines as stipulated the in education act 2008, second schedule; A minimum of 2 or more members out of 6 founding members should be females. • Verified by Namugongo 4, Izahura 3, Bumaddu 3, Bundibugyo Demo 2, all females.</li> </ul>
18	<p>LG Education department has ensured that guidelines on environmental management are disseminated</p> <p>Maximum 3 points for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the LG Education department in collaboration with Environment department has issued guidelines on environmental management (tree planting, waste management, formation of environmental clubs and environment education etc.): score 3:</li> </ul>	3	<p>Training on Gender, environment and sanitation compliance in schools; Sub theme II; Environmental compliance with emphasis on replacement of vegetation, leveling by contractors, Plant trees, and formation of environmental clubs in schools.</p>



## **LGPA 2017/18**

Health Performance Measures

Bundibugyo District

(Vote Code: 505)

Score 70/100 (70%)

No.	Performance Measure	Scoring Guide	Score	Justification
Assessment area: Human resource planning and management				
1	<p>LG has substantively recruited primary health workers with a wage bill provision from PHC wage</p> <p>Maximum 6 points for this performance measure</p>	<p>Evidence that LG has filled the structure for primary health workers with a wage bill provision from PHC wage for the current FY • More than 80% filled: score 6 points, • 60 – 80% - score 3 • Less than 60% filled: score 0</p>	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Local Government performance contract 2017/18 FY submitted by CAO on 15th Sept 2017 has health sector on pages 16-18.</li> <li>• The PHC wage provision is 3,933,776,000.</li> <li>• The approved structure provides for 538 PHC posts; while 418 are currently in post.</li> <li>• 8 vacant posts have been advertised ( DHO, PMO,dispenser, and enrolled midwives).</li> <li>• 19 other posts have been submitted on Jan 2018 for advertisement.</li> <li>• % filled =445/538 which is 82.7%</li> </ul>
2	<p>The LG Health department has submitted a comprehensive recruitment plan to the HRM department</p> <p>Maximum 4 points for this performance measure</p>	<p>Evidence that Health department has submitted a comprehensive recruitment plan/request to HRM for the current FY, covering the vacant positions of health workers: score 4</p>	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The recruitment plan dated 10th March 2017 was submitted as annex to the LG performance contract 2017/18 FY.</li> </ul> <p>It contains the 19 vacant positions in health</p>

3	<p>The LG Health department has ensured that performance appraisal for health facility in charge is conducted</p> <p>Maximum 8 points for this performance measure</p>	<p>Evidence that the health facility in-charge have been appraised during the previous FY: o 100%: score 8 o 70 – 99%: score 4 o Below 70%: score 0</p>	8	<p>The District has one (1) general hospital i.e. Bundibugyo General Hospital and two (2) HCIVs i.e. Nyahuka HCIV and Kikyo HCIV. All In charges had been appraised by the time of assessment as per their personal files i.e. 100% appraisal done.</p>
4	<p>The Local Government Health department has equitably deployed health workers across health facilities and in accordance with the staff lists submitted together with the budget in the current FY.</p> <p>Maximum 4 points for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the LG Health department has deployed health workers equitably, in line with the lists submitted with the budget for the current FY: score 4</li> </ul>	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are 25 Public health facilities and 3 Public not for Profit health facilities in the district.</li> <li>The health workers list of deployment dated Jan 2018 shows qualified staff equitably distributed in all the health facilities.</li> <li>The cadres in short supply are pharmacists, radiographer, dispenser, and senior medical officers</li> </ul>
Assessment area: Monitoring and Supervision				
5	<p>The DHO has effectively communicated and explained guidelines, policies, circulars issued by the national level in the previous FY to health facilities</p> <p>Maximum 6 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the DHO has communicated all guidelines, policies, circulars issued by the national level in the previous FY to health facilities: score 3</li> </ul>	0	<p>There is no evidence of distribution of guidelines, policies, and circulars. Some guidelines e.g. on sanitation and medical waste management were not found in the health facilities visited e.g. Nyahuka HCIV and Bubikwanga HCIII</p> <p>Few guidelines seen at the health facility include Uganda Clinical guidelines, HUMC guidelines, Guidance on implementation of differentiated service delivery models for HIV/TB services in Uganda, essential medicines, health supplies list Uganda</p>



		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the DHO has held meetings with health facility in-charges and among others explained the guidelines, policies, circulars issued by the national level: score 3</li> </ul>	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>DHO held meetings with health facility in charges on 10th Aug 2016;18th April 2017;17th May 2017;26th June 2017;and 29th June 2017. Support for this came from partners Save the children international and Baylor Uganda</li> </ul>
6	<p>The LG Health Department has effectively provided support supervision to district health services</p> <p>Maximum 6 points for this performance measure</p>	<p>Evidence that DHT has supervised 100% of HC IVs and district hospitals: score 3</p> <p>Evidence that DHT has supervised lower level health facilities within the previous FY: • If 100% supervised: score 3 points • 80 - 99% of the health facilities: score 2 • 60 - 79% of the health facilities: score 1 • Less than 60% of the health facilities: score 0</p>	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are 2 HCIV( Nyahuka and Kikyoo) and all were supervised by DHT. The DHT reports are dated 15th March 2017;5th May 2017; and 5th June 2017</li> <li>Some health facilities are inaccessible e.g. Kyondo HCII and were not fully supervised.</li> <li>Support supervision reports are dated 27th July 2016;</li> </ul>
7	<p>The Health Sub-district(s) have effectively provided support supervision to lower level health units</p> <p>Maximum 6 points for this performance measure</p>	<p>Evidence that health facilities have been supervised by HSD and reports produced: • If 100% supervised score 6 points • 80 - 99% of the health facilities: score 4 • 60 - 79% of the health facilities: score 2 • Less than 60% of the health facilities: score 0</p>	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In Bubukwanga HCIII support supervision log book shows supervision conducted on 14th July 2016;11th Aug 2016;4th Jan 2017;22nd Feb 2017;28th March 201 and 21st June 2017</li> <li>Due to shortage of transport and some health facilities being inaccessible only 99% of health facilities were supervised.</li> <li>The HSD funding for support supervision was cut and affected support supervision activities.</li> <li>The HSD meeting minutes are dated 8th Aug 2016;13th Jan 2017;17th march 2017;6th April 2017; 29th June 2017 for Nyahuka HCIV</li> </ul>



10	<p>The LG committee responsible for health met, discussed service delivery issues and presented issues that require approval to Council</p> <p>Maximum 4 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the council committee responsible for health met and discussed service delivery issues including supervision reports, performance assessment results, LG PAC reports etc. during the previous FY: score 2</li> </ul>	2	<p>Social Services Committee which is also in charge education and health sitting 10/05/2017 discussed lightly the 2017/18 implementation plan and budget under min.04/SSSC/05/2017 which was approved in council of 19/05/17. Also sat 26/10/2016 and discussed sectoral reports.</p>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the health sector committee has presented issues that require approval to Council: score 2</li> </ul>	2	<p>Presented to council of 27/02/2017 proposal to have a private wing at hospital under min. 06/BDLGC/02/2017 which was approved. Also presented work plan and budget 2017/18 to council for approval.</p>
11	<p>The Health Unit Management Committees and Hospital Board are operational/functioning</p> <p>Maximum 5 points</p>	<p>Evidence that health facilities and Hospitals have functional HUMCs/Boards (established, meetings held and discussions of budget and resource issues):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If 100% of randomly sampled facilities: score 5</li> <li>If 80-99% : score 3</li> <li>If 70-79%: : score 1</li> <li>If less than 70%: score 0</li> </ul>	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All health facilities have HUMC committees/ Boards the hospital and HCIV, HCIII meet quarterly e.g. Bubukwanga HCIII HUMC met on 2nd July 2016;13th July 2016;12th Sept 2016;10th Nov 2016</li> <li>The HCII have irregular meetings (2-3 meetings per year).</li> </ul>
12	<p>The LG has publicised all health facilities receiving PHC non-wage recurrent grants</p> <p>Maximum 3 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the LG has publicised all health facilities receiving PHC non-wage recurrent grants e.g. through posting on public notice boards: score 3</li> </ul>	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Publication of PHC non-wage on the notice board was seen at DHOs office dated 2nd Nov 2017 showing allocations to all health facilities in the district.</li> <li>The district web site is however not active.</li> </ul>

Assessment area: Procurement and contract management

13	<p>The LG Health department has submitted procurement requests, complete with all technical requirements, to PDU that cover all items in the approved Sector annual work plan and budget</p> <p>Maximum 4 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the sector has submitted procurement requests to PDU that cover all investment items in the approved Sector annual work plan and budget on time by April 30 for the current FY: score 2</li> </ul> <p>Evidence that LG Health department submitted procurement request form (Form PP5) to the PDU by 1st Quarter of the current FY: score 2</p>	0	<p>The procurement plan was submitted on 20th May 2017 beyond the cut off point of April 30th 2017</p> <p>The procurement requests were submitted to PDU</p> <p>On 24th July 2017 for rehabilitation of Bundibugyo hospital.</p> <p>Also on 2nd May 2017; 17th July 2017; and 21st Sept 2017 for other general supplies.</p>
14	<p>The LG Health department has supported all health facilities to submit health supplies procurement plan to NMS</p> <p>Maximum 8 points for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the LG Health department has supported all health facilities to submit health supplies procurement plan to NMS on time:</li> <li>• 100% - score 8</li> <li>• 70-99% – score 4</li> <li>• Below 70% - score 0</li> </ul>	4	<p>25 health facilities were supported to submit procurement requests to NMS. Some facilities e.g. Tombwe HCII are left out.</p> <p>The acknowledgement letters from NMS are dated 15th July 2017; 5th Sept 2017</p>

15	<p>The LG Health department has certified and initiated payment for supplies on time</p> <p>Maximum 2 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the DHO (as per contract) certified and recommended suppliers timely for payment: score 2 points</li> </ul>	2	<p>1) Construction of generator house and its installation at Bundibugyo Hospital worth 9,038,175 by M/S STK &amp; Brothers Co. Ltd</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contract date: 14/4/2016</li> <li>Certificate dated 2/6/2016</li> <li>Requisition by DHO 20/9/2016</li> <li>Payment date: 23/11/2016</li> <li>Voucher No 839</li> </ul> <p>2) construction of Placenta Pit at Bundibugyo main Hospital worth 5,646,000 by M/S Babumbeki farm Construction Co. Ltd</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contract date: 11/5/2017</li> <li>Certificate No 1 date 13/6/2017</li> <li>Requisition by DHO 19/6/2017</li> <li>Payment date: 20/6/2017</li> <li>Voucher No.4222</li> </ul>
Assessment area: Financial management and reporting				
16	<p>The LG Health department has submitted annual reports (including all quarterly reports) in time to the Planning Unit</p> <p>Maximum 4 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the department submitted the annual performance report for the previous FY (including all four quarterly reports) to the Planner by mid-July for consolidation: score 4</li> </ul>	0	<p>Q1 14/11/2016, Q2 23/02/2017, Q3 4/5/2017 and Q4 4/8/2017 as per planners records which is beyond the required mid-July.</p>

17	<p>LG Health department has acted on Internal Audit recommendation (if any)</p> <p>Maximum 4 for this performance measure</p>	<p>Evidence that the sector has provided information to the internal audit on the status of implementation of all audit findings for the previous financial year</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If sector has no audit query score 4</li> <li>• If the sector has provided information to the internal audit on the status of implementation of all audit findings for the previous financial year: score 2 points</li> <li>• If all queries are not responded to score 0</li> </ul>	0	<p>No report provided by the audit department at the time of assessment for verification for any response to audit issues raised</p>
Assessment area: Social and environmental safeguards				
18	<p>Compliance with gender composition of HUMC and promotion of gender sensitive sanitation in health facilities.</p> <p>Maximum 4 points</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that Health Unit Management Committee (HUMC) meet the gender composition as per guidelines: score 2</li> </ul>	2	<p>In Bubukwanga HCIII out of 8 members of the HUMC 2 are female.</p> <p>In Nyahuka HCIV, out of 9 members of HUMC, 3 are female. The HUMC guidelines from MOH stipulate female representation without specifying actual number of females.</p>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the LG has issued guidelines on how to manage sanitation in health facilities including separating facilities for men and women: score 2</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Guidelines on sanitation were not available at DHOs office and health facilities.</li> <li>• DHO denied ever issuing them</li> </ul>
19	<p>The LG Health department has issued guidelines on medical waste management</p> <p>Maximum 2 points</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the LGs has issued guidelines on medical waste management, including guidelines for construction of facilities for medical waste disposal : score 2 points.</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Guidelines on medical waste management were not available at DHOs office and health facilities.</li> </ul> <p>DHO denied ever issuing them</p>



## **LGPA 2017/18**

Water & Environment Performance Measures

Bundibugyo District

(Vote Code: 505)

Score 79/100 (79%)

No.	Performance Measure	Scoring Guide	Score	Justification
Assessment area: Planning, budgeting and execution				
1	<p>The DWO has targeted allocations to sub-counties with safe water coverage below the district average.</p> <p>Maximum score 10 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the LG Water department has targeted sub-counties with safe water coverage below the district average in the budget for the current FY: score 10</li> </ul>	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Safe water coverage for Bundibugyo district stands at 62%</li> <li>Seven of the 17 sub-counties are below the global average coverage</li> <li>Low-coverage sub-counties are: Bubandi (38%), Burondo (37%), Kagughu (46%), Kirumya (51%), Bubukwanga (37%), Ndugutu (58%), and Ntotoro (48%)</li> <li>Provision has been made for under-served sub-counties in the FY 2017/18 budget/workplan as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bubandi: reconstruction of gravity flow scheme (GFS) and construction of 2 No. protected springs</li> <li>Burondo: rehabilitation of GFS</li> <li>Kagughu: construction of 2 No. protected springs</li> <li>Kirumya: extension of Bubukungwa GFS to Kirumya</li> <li>Bubukwanga: extension of GFS</li> <li>Ndugutu: reconstruction of GFS</li> <li>Ntotoro: Red Cross projects for the refugee host community</li> </ul> </li> </ul>



<p>2</p>	<p>The LG Water department has implemented budgeted water projects in the targeted sub-counties (i.e. sub-counties with safe water coverage below the district average)</p> <p>Maximum 15 points for this performance measure</p>	<p>• Evidence that the LG Water department has implemented budgeted water projects in the targeted sub-counties with safe water coverage below the district average in the previous FY: score 15</p>	<p>15</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Progress reports for the preceding year were analyzed – with emphasis on Q4, which was submitted and received by DWD on July 31, 2017</li> <li>• Low-coverage sub-counties were catered for in FY 2016/17 as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Bubandi: rehabilitation of 2 No. boreholes and 2 No. springs</li> <li>o Burondo: rehabilitation of GFS</li> <li>o Kagughu: rehabilitation of protected spring</li> <li>o Kirumya: extension of Kikyo GFS to Kirumya</li> <li>o Bubukwanga: extension of GFS (via UNHCR funding)</li> <li>o Ndugutu: reconstruction of GFS</li> <li>o Ntotoro: extension of GFS (via Red Cross funding)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
----------	---	--	---

Assessment area: Monitoring and Supervision

<p>3</p>	<p>The LG Water department carries out monthly monitoring and supervision of project investments in the sector</p> <p>Maximum 15 points for this performance measure</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Seven major WSS projects were undertaken in FY 2016/17: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Rehabilitation of Burondo GFS by Standard Civil Works Ltd</li> <li>o Extension of Kikyo GFs to Kirumya by K. David and Friends</li> <li>o Reconstruction of Ndugutu GFS</li> <li>o Design of Bibo GFS</li> <li>o Rehabilitation of 2 No.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
----------	--	--	---

Evidence that the LG Water department has monitored each of WSS facilities at least annually. • If more than 95% of the WSS facilities monitored: score 15 • 80 - 95% of the WSS facilities - monitored: score 10 • 70 - 79%: score 7 • 60 - 69% monitored: score 5 • 50 - 59%: score 3 • Less than 50% of WSS facilities monitored -score 0

15

boreholes and 4 No. springs by Banahumya Company

o Repair works on Sindila GFS by Bundibugyo Water Artisans Association

o Repair works on Kisonko-Ngite-Nyaruru GFS by Bundibugyo Artisans Association

• Construction supervision and monitoring reports for recent WSS projects were reviewed/assessed as follows:

o Field report April 10, 2017: Burondo GFS reconstruction progress

o Field reports of March 23, 2017 and July 18, 2017: authored by Superintendent for extension of Kityo GFS

o Field report May 10, 2017: Ndugutu GFS reconstruction progress

o Field report March 20, 2017: evaluated rehabilitation works for 2 No. boreholes and 4 No. protected springs

o Field report June 19, 2017: assessed repair works on Sindila GFS

o Field report April 6, 2017: assessed repair works on Kisonko-Ngite-Nyaruru GFS

• 6 out of 6 construction Projects: 100%

4	<p>The LG Water department has submitted accurate/consistent reports/data lists of water facilities as per formats provided by MoWE</p> <p>Maximum 10 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the LG has submitted accurate/consistent data for the current FY: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o List of water facility which are consistent in both sector MIS reports and OBT: score 10</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bundibugyo LG will implement five WSS projects in FY 2017/18: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Reconstruction of Ndugutu GFS</li> <li>o Extension of Bubukwanga GFS</li> <li>o Reconstruction of Kyogho GFS</li> <li>o Rehabilitation of Burondo GFS</li> <li>o Rehabilitation of 7 No. protected springs</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The above list is consistent with MWE's MIS records for district WSS facilities FY 2017/18</li> </ul>
---	--	---	----	---

Assessment area: Procurement and contract management

5	<p>The LG Water department has submitted procurement requests, complete with all technical requirements, to PDU that cover all items in the approved Sector annual work plan and budget</p> <p>Maximum 4 for this performance measure</p>	<p>Evidence that the sector has submitted procurement requests to PDU that cover all investment items in the approved Sector annual work plan and budget on time (by April 30): score 4</p>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The DWO submitted procurement requests for the five projects listed in performance measure 4 on the same date: August 15, 2017</li> <li>• The PDU records confirm submission of the PRs was beyond the April 30, 2017 deadline</li> </ul>
---	---	---	---	--

The DWO has appointed Contract Manager and has effectively managed the WSS contracts

Maximum 8 points for this performance measure

- If the DWO prepared a contract management plan and conducted monthly site visits for the different WSS infrastructure projects as per the contract management plan: score 2

2

- Regular site visits are conducted for the aforesaid projects as showcased by periodic monitoring and supervision reports presented in Performance Measure 3

- If water and sanitation facilities constructed as per design(s): score 2

2

- Field assessment was conducted on February 7, 2018 for recently implemented WSS projects; i.e. newly rehabilitated Burondo GFS and extension of Kikyo GFs to Kirumya
- It was established gravity flow schemes were built as per designs – and function properly

- If contractor handed over all completed WSS facilities: score 2

2

- During field assessments, beneficiary communities were engaged to get their views about post-construction operations and maintenance
- No issue was reported with respect to service levels of WSS facilities
- The WSS projects assessed are past their defects liability period, and corrective measures were addressed before handover to communities

• If DWO appropriately certified all WSS projects and prepared and filed completion reports: score 2

2

- The LG largely implements gravity flow water extension projects, which have a construction period of less than 3 months
- Substantial completion reports prepared by the LG Water Department pave way for certifying extension works. Certified works included:
  - o Rehabilitation of Burondo GFS – by Standard Civil Works (April 10, 2017; UGX 42.3m)
  - o Extension of Kikyo GFs – by K. David and Friends (June 19, 2017; UGX 141.3m)
  - o Reconstruction of Ndugutu GFS – Certificate May 11, 2017; UGX 139.4m
  - o Rehabilitation of 2 No. boreholes and 4 No. springs – by Banahumya (March 20, 2017; UGX 8.8m)
  - o Repair works on Sindila GFS – by Bundibugyo Water Artisans (June 19, 2017; UGX 35.4m)
  - o Repair works on Kisonko-Ngite-Nyaruru GFS – by Bundibugyo Artisans (April 10, 2017; UGX 24m)

7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the DWOs timely (as per contract) certified and recommended suppliers for payment: score 3 points</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the DWOs timely (as per contract) certified and recommended suppliers for payment: score 3 points</li> </ul>	3	<p>1) Incidental Repair of Sindila Gravity Flow Scheme Distribution and rehabilitation of Kyabitehe Gravity Flow Scheme worth 37,313,295 by M/S Bundibugyo Water Artisans.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contract date: 31/5/2017</li> <li>Final Certificate date 19/6/2017</li> <li>Payment date: 21/6/2017</li> <li>Voucher No PV-WK00997</li> </ul> <p>2) Rehabilitation of Burondo Gravity Flow Scheme in Burondo Sub County worth 42,492,461 by M/S Standard Civil Works Ltd</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contract date: 17/11/2016</li> <li>Final Certificate date 10/4/2017</li> <li>Payment date: 8/6/2017</li> <li>Voucher No PV-WK00837</li> </ul>
---	---	---	---	---

Assessment area: Financial management and reporting

8	<p>The LG Water department has submitted annual reports (including all quarterly reports) in time to the Planning Unit</p> <p>Maximum 5 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the department submitted the annual performance report for the previous FY (including all four quarterly reports) to the Planner by mid-July for consolidation: score 5</li> </ul>	0	<p>Q1 14/11/2016, Q2 23/02/2017, Q3 4/5/2017 and Q4 4/8/2017 as per planners records which is beyond the required mid-July.</p>
---	--	---	---	---

9	<p>LG Water Department has acted on Internal Audit recommendation (if any)</p> <p>Maximum 5 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the sector has provided information to the internal audit on the status of implementation of all audit findings for the previous financial year o If sector has no audit query score 5 o If the sector has provided information to the internal audit on the status of implementation of all audit findings for the previous financial year: score 3 If queries are not responded to score 0</li> </ul>	0	<p>No report provided by the audit department at the time of assessment for verification for any response to audit issues raised</p>
Assessment area: Governance, oversight, transparency and accountability				
10	<p>The LG committee responsible for water met, discussed service delivery issues and presented issues that require approval to Council</p> <p>Maximum 6 for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the council committee responsible for water met and discussed service delivery issues including supervision reports, performance assessment results, LG PAC reports and submissions from the District Water and Sanitation Coordination Committee (DWSCC) etc. during the previous FY: score 3</li> </ul>	3	<p>Works and Technical Services Sectoral Committee of 04/05/2017 discussed plan and budget for water 2017/18 lightly under. 03/WTSC/05/2017 and 04/WTSC/05/2017 which was eventually approved in council for the same month. Then the sectoral committee of 28/10/2016 also discussed sectoral reports.</p>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that the water sector committee has presented issues that require approval to Council: score 3</li> </ul>	3	<p>Presented AWP and Budget 2017/18 to council for approval.</p>
11	<p>The LG Water department has shared information widely to the public to enhance transparency</p> <p>Maximum 6 points for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The AWP, budget and the Water Development grant releases and expenditures have been displayed on the district notice boards as per the PPDA Act and discussed at advocacy meetings: score 2</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At the time of assessment, the following info was displayed on the LG notice boards: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Workplan for Water and Sanitation Conditional Grant</li> <li>o List of WSS projects for FY 2017/18</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Information on water and sanitation grant releases was not available the public</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All WSS projects are clearly labelled indicating the name of the project, date of construction, the contractor and source of funding: score 2</li> </ul>	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Projects assessed during fieldwork show funding, FY of construction and contractor details: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Kikyo Gravity Flow Scheme (GFS) – FY 2016/17; Funder: DWSG; Contractor: K. David and Friends</li> <li>Burondo Gravity Flow Scheme (GFS) – FY 2016/17; Funder: DWSG; Contractor: Standard Civil Works</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Information on tenders and contract awards (indicating contractor name /contract and contract sum) displayed on the District notice boards: score 2</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At the time of assessment, information on tenders and contract awards was not displayed on the District notice boards</li> </ul>
12	<p>Participation of communities in WSS programmes</p> <p>Maximum 3 points for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If communities apply for water/public sanitation facilities as per the sector critical requirements (including community contributions) for the current FY: score 1</li> </ul>	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Community requests for water sources to the DWO are on file. Requests from the following communities were reviewed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bubandi sub-county, September 7, 2016; subject: springs and boreholes rehabilitation – done FY 2016/17 and FY 2017/18</li> <li>Kirumya sub-county), January 18, 2017: subject: extension of GFS – considered for FY 2017/18</li> <li>Communities of Ngamba sub-county, November 14, 2017; subject: extension of GFS</li> </ul> </li> </ul>



		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Number of water supply facilities with WSCs that are functioning evidenced by collection of O&amp;M funds and carrying out preventive maintenance and minor repairs, for the current FY: score 2</li> </ul>	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Form 4. Water Supply Database – Update Sheet details water source functionality across all the 17 sub-counties</li> <li>• Form 4 captures information on existence of water user committees – and whether they collect fees for O&amp;M</li> <li>• Average district functionality stands at 75%</li> </ul>
--	--	--	---	---

Assessment area: Social and environmental safeguards

13	<p>The LG Water department has devised strategies for environmental conservation and management</p> <p>Maximum 4 points for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that environmental screening (as per templates) for all projects and EIAs (where required) conducted for all WSS projects and reports are in place: score 2</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Environmental Assessment Forms and Environmental Management Plans were assessed for two WSS projects: Rehabilitation Burondo GFS and Extension of Kityo GFS</li> <li>• It could not be ascertained whether environmental screening was conducted for other WSS projects</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that there has been follow up support provided in case of unacceptable environmental concerns in the past FY: score 1</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No evidence of environmental certification for identified concerns was availed</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that construction and supervision contracts have clause on environmental protection: score 1</li> </ul>	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contracts for gravity flow schemes (the main WSS technology in the LG) provide for environmental protection of sites</li> <li>Reinstatement of roads and backfilling is tied to trenching works for laying pipes during water extension works</li> </ul>
14	<p>The LG Water department has promoted gender equity in WSC composition.</p> <p>Maximum 3 points for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If at least 50% WSCs are women as per the sector critical requirements: score 3</li> </ul>	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Of the sampled five WSS facilities (listed below), composition of user committees favors women – and often surpassed requirement of 50% female composition</li> <li>Male-Female composition in assessed facilities (public stand posts/taps): Bukanja (Male 2, Female 7); Kibundu (Male 5, Female 5); Kasangaki (Male 2, Female 9); Flat Tap (Male 4, Female 3); and John Tap (Male 6, Female 1)</li> </ul>
15	<p>Gender- and special-needs sensitive sanitation facilities in public places/RGCs.</p> <p>Maximum 3 points for this performance measure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If public sanitation facilities have adequate access and separate stances for men, women and PWDs: score 3</li> </ul>	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The 2-stance lined VIP latrine at Bundimasoli Market is sex-separated, and has adequate access for PWDs</li> <li>Facility accessed was constructed in FY 20116/17, but limitation of funds did not allow for construction of more facilities in FY 2017/18</li> </ul>