

## QUARTER 4: Highlights of Vote Performance

### V1: Summary of Issues in Budget Execution

This section provides an overview of Vote expenditure

#### (i) Snapshot of Vote Releases and Expenditures

Table V1.1 below summarises cumulative releases and expenditures by the end of the quarter:

**Table V1.1: Overview of Vote Expenditures (US\$ Billion)**

<i>(i) Excluding Arrears, Taxes</i>		Approved Budget	Cashlimits by End	Released by End	Spent by End Jun	% Budget Released	% Budget Spent	% Releases Spent
Recurrent	Wage	1.119	1.107	1.119	1.119	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Non Wage	3.139	3.149	3.149	3.147	100.3%	100.2%	99.9%
Development	GoU	0.272	0.291	0.272	0.269	100.0%	99.1%	99.1%
	Ext Fin.	0.000	N/A	0.000	0.000	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>GoU Total</b>		<b>4.529</b>	<b>4.548</b>	<b>4.540</b>	<b>4.534</b>	<b>100.2%</b>	<b>100.1%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>
<b>Total GoU+Ext Fin. (MTEF)</b>		<b>4.529</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>4.540</b>	<b>4.534</b>	<b>100.2%</b>	<b>100.1%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>
<i>(ii) Arrears and Taxes</i>	Arrears	0.000	N/A	0.000	0.000	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Taxes	0.039	N/A	0.039	0.039	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Total Budget</b>		<b>4.569</b>	<b>4.548</b>	<b>4.579</b>	<b>4.574</b>	<b>100.2%</b>	<b>100.1%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>

\* Donor expenditure information available

\*\* Non VAT on capital expenditure

The table below shows cumulative releases and expenditures to the Vote by Vote Function :

**Table V1.2: Releases and Expenditure by Vote Function\***

Billion Uganda Shillings		Approved Budget	Released	Spent	% Budget Released	% Budget Spent	% Releases Spent
VF: 1353	Coordination of Local Government Financing	4.53	4.54	4.53	100.2%	100.1%	99.9%
<b>Total For Vote</b>		<b>4.53</b>	<b>4.54</b>	<b>4.53</b>	<b>100.2%</b>	<b>100.1%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>

\* Excluding Taxes and Arrears

#### (ii) Matters to note in budget execution

The Commission received the fourth quarter budget to fund the planned activities for fourth quarter as per the submitted request. The budget was spent in line with the approved workplans for the quarter. The over expenditure amounts relate mostly to funds accumulated from quarter three

However there are significant recurrent activities that have been achieved by the Sector during the period under review and have been summarized under the physical performance.

Some of the funds released as supplementary budgets where not captured in the budget yet they are captured in expenditure hence over expenditure e.g. gratuity

On the development budget the tax was not captured yet released hence over performance

Delays in the procurement process for maintenance of vehicles

**Table V1.3: High Unspent Balances and Over-Expenditure in the Domestic Budget (Ushs Bn)**

<i>(i) Major unspent balances</i>
<i>(ii) Expenditures in excess of the original approved budget</i>

\* Excluding Taxes and Arrears

## V2: Performance Highlights

This section provides highlights of output performance, focusing on key outputs and actions implemented to improve section performance.

**Table V2.1: Key Vote Output Indicators and Expenditures\***

Vote, Vote Function Key Output	Approved Budget and Planned outputs	Cumulative Expenditure and Performance	Status and Reasons for any Variation from Plans
--------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--	---

# Vote: 147 Local Government Finance Comm

## QUARTER 4: Highlights of Vote Performance

### Vote Function: 1353 Coordination of Local Government Financing

#### Output: 135302

#### LGs Budget Analysis

<i>Description of Performance:</i>	Analyze 133 LG Budgets for compliance with legal requirements. Provide feed bank on findings from analysis of LG budgets to 133 LGs Provide technical support in indentified areas of weakness in the process of budget formulation in 20 LGs Collect, process and capture data in the fiscal data bank from LG approved final accounts	Analyzed 133 LG Budgets for compliance with legal requirements. Provide feed bank on findings from analysis of LG budgets to 133 LGs by writing letters and highlighting issues with their performance form B Provide technical support in indentified areas of weakness in the process of budget formulation in 15 LGs. Fiscal Data validation was conducted for 13 LGs of Gulu, Tororo, Moroto Municipal councils, Nwoya, Kitgum, Kaabong, Serere, Bududa Zombo, Kyankwanzi , Amuria, Nebbi, Kalungu, Kamwenge, Mitooma, Nwoya, and Gulu	NIL
------------------------------------	--	---	-----

#### Performance Indicators:

No. of Local Governments provided with skills in Budget Formulation	20	15
No. of Local Governments complying with budgeting legal requirement	40	40
Average length of time taken to provide feedback on analyzed budgets (Days)	60	60

*Output Cost:* US\$ Bn: 0.299 US\$ Bn: 0.297 % Budget Spent: 99.6%

#### Output: 135303

#### Enhancement of LG Revenue Mobilisation and Generation

<i>Description of Performance:</i>	Provide support to 30 LGs with skills and approaches to establish fiscal databases. Support 30 LGs to improve methods of collecting property rates Hold 2 regional meetings to share experiences on the implementation of best practices and disseminate updates on local revenue enhancement practices Hold 2 LRECC meetings will be held to discuss the challenges in local revenue mobilization and generation by LGs Develop a concept on mechanisms on how to utilize LED initiative to increase local revenues for service delivery in	61 Local Governmnetswere supported in the establishment of local revenue databases 36 urban councils were support to improve on methods of collecting property rates local revenue coordinating committee meeting was conducted to discuss the proposed review of legal provision for local revenue collection and one was held to discuss the proposed review of the legal provisions for local revenue mobilization. 2 LRECC was held to further discuss and and conclude on the proposed review of the legal provisions for local revenue mobilization No regional meetings were held to disseminate best practices on local revenue enhancement practices	Some local governments had more than one urban council (Nakasongola District has 3 town councils i.e Nakasongola TC, Migeera TC and Kakooge TC & Buikwe has 4 town councils i.e Buikwe TC, Lugazi TC, Njeru TC and Nkokojeru TC while Amolatar has 2 town councils i.e Amolatar TC and Namasale TC). There was over performance of on local government from the planned. Actual for local revenue were 60 and 40 for property rates but figures were not changed in the Key performance indictor table hence the variance in the numbers in the table
------------------------------------	--	---	--

#### Performance Indicators:

# Vote: 147 Local Government Finance Comm

## QUARTER 4: Highlights of Vote Performance

<i>Vote, Vote Function Key Output</i>	<b>Approved Budget and Planned outputs</b>	<b>Cumulative Expenditure and Performance</b>	<b>Status and Reasons for any Variation from Plans</b>
No. of LGs provided with skills to establish local revenue databases	36	61	
No. of LGs provided with skills in the collection of property rates	22	36	
No. of LGs applying Best Practices in Local revenue collection	58	100	
Percentage change in annual local revenue performance (MC)	20.6	0	
Percentage change in annual local revenue performance (Districts)	2.1	0	
<i>Output Cost:</i>	US\$ Bn: 0.804	US\$ Bn: 0.792	% Budget Spent: 98.5%
<b>Output: 135304</b>	<b>Equitable Distribution of Grants to LGs</b>		
<i>Description of Performance:</i>	<p>Organize and Facilitate negotiations between LGs and sector Ministries and disseminate the agreements on conditions for utilization of conditional grants and implement relevant recommendations</p> <p>Provide support to 3 LGBC meetings</p> <p>Assess the extent of implementation of extension of grants to LLGs and document lessons learnt</p>	<p>All the 7 sectors (Agriculture, Health, Education, Water, Works, Trade and Gender) negotiations were successfully conducted between late September and early October 2014</p> <p>2 Local Government Budget meeting were successfully conducted in September, December 2014.</p> <p>The midterm review of negotiation meetings were held for each of the seven sectors and progress was commendable</p> <p>The data collection tool/ checklist was reviewed and tested in a sample of LGs for</p> <p>Assessment of the extent of implementation of extension of grants to LLGs and in the districts of kamwenge, kasese , kisoro, and kumi After using the data collection tool in another 5 local governments for Luwero, Kibaale, Apac, Oyam and Lira a few items were adjusted and have been pretested again in 4 local government of Kisoro, Kamwenge, Bullisa and Kasese . The tool was used to collect data from Hoima, Bushenyi ,Wakiso, Kabarole, Mbale and Kumi .</p>	<p>Wage Grants are increasing while the rest are either falling or static! Thus LG discretion and service delivery are threatened. This is inconsistent with decentralization tenets. Some of the expected output was delayed due to late implementation as a result of inadequate budget allocation and poor stake holder uptake</p>
<i>Performance Indicators:</i>			
Proportion of unconditional grant compared to total transfers to LGs	4.5	3.8	
Number of negotiation forums held		0	
Number of agreements between UNAT and Sectors implemented	7	7	
<i>Output Cost:</i>	US\$ Bn: 0.703	US\$ Bn: 0.699	% Budget Spent: 99.5%
<b>Vote Function Cost</b>	<b>US\$ Bn: 4.529</b>	<b>US\$ Bn: 4.534</b>	<b>% Budget Spent: 100.1%</b>

# Vote: 147 Local Government Finance Comm

## QUARTER 4: Highlights of Vote Performance

Vote, Vote Function Key Output	Approved Budget and Planned outputs	Cumulative Expenditure and Performance	Status and Reasons for any Variation from Plans
<b>Cost of Vote Services:</b>	<i>US\$ Bn:</i>	<b>4.529</b> <i>US\$ Bn:</i>	<b>4.534</b> % Budget Spent: <b>100.1%</b>

\* Excluding Taxes and Arrears

Insufficient funds to meet costs for the commission's function of carrying out regional workshops

**Table V2.2: Implementing Actions to Improve Vote Performance**

Planned Actions:	Actual Actions:	Reasons for Variation
Vote: 147 Local Government Finance Comm		
Vote Function: 13 53 Coordination of Local Government Financing		
Hold midterm review with 7 sectors on the implementation of agreements on the conditions for utilization of conditional grants	<b>Progress reports from each of the seven sectors were received and discussed. Implementation was generally commendable for all the sectors. Sectors were asked to put extra effort in the few areas that were poorly done.</b>	Some of the expected output was delayed due to late implementation as a result of inadequate budget allocation and poor stake holder uptake
Hold stakeholders meeting on LG budgets and transfers.	<b>The issues were discussed during the separate meetings held at LG levels</b>	
Assess the benefits of extension of grants to LLGs	<b>The reviewed tool/ checklist has been pretested in 4 LGs and is now ready for use</b>	
Vote: 147 Local Government Finance Comm		
Vote Function: 13 53 Coordination of Local Government Financing		
Support 30 LGs on establishing fiscal database management systems	<b>28 districts and 33 urban councils (total of 61 local governments) were supported to establish fiscal databases for management of local revenues.</b>	Some local governments had more than one urban council (Nakasongola District has 3 town councils i.e Nakasongola TC, Migeera TC and Kakooge TC & Buikwe has 4 town councils i.e Buikwe TC, Lugazi TC, Njeru TC and Nkokojeru TC while Amolatar has 2 town councils i.e Amolatar TC and Namasale TC).
Continue with provision of technical support on the process of collection of property rates	<b>36 Urban councils were supported in the improved methods for property rate collection.</b>	In quarter three only 8 urban councils were supported instead of 12 urban councils that was the target, this was because little funds allocated for the exercise.

## V3: Details of Releases and Expenditure

This section provides a comprehensive summary of the outputs delivered by the Vote and further details of Vote expenditures by Vote Function and Expenditure Item.

**Table V3.1: GoU Releases and Expenditure by Output\***

Billion Uganda Shillings	Approved Budget	Released	Spent	% GoU Budget Released	% GoU Budget Spent	% GoU Releases Spent
<b>VF:1353 Coordination of Local Government Financing</b>	<b>4.53</b>	<b>4.54</b>	<b>4.53</b>	<b>100.2%</b>	<b>100.1%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>
<i>Class: Outputs Provided</i>	4.26	4.27	4.27	100.2%	100.2%	99.9%
135301 Human Resource Management	1.27	1.30	<b>1.30</b>	102.7%	102.7%	100.0%
135302 LGs Budget Analysis	0.30	0.30	<b>0.30</b>	100.0%	99.6%	99.6%
135303 Enhancement of LG Revenue Mobilisation and Generation	0.80	0.79	<b>0.79</b>	98.6%	98.5%	100.0%
135304 Equitable Distribution of Grants to LGs	0.70	0.70	<b>0.70</b>	99.7%	99.5%	99.8%
135305 Institutional Capacity Maintenance and Enhancement	1.19	1.18	<b>1.18</b>	99.1%	99.1%	100.0%
<i>Class: Capital Purchases</i>	0.27	0.27	0.27	100.0%	99.1%	99.1%
135375 Purchase of Motor Vehicles and Other Transport Equipment	0.27	0.27	<b>0.27</b>	100.0%	99.1%	99.1%
<b>Total For Vote</b>	<b>4.53</b>	<b>4.54</b>	<b>4.53</b>	<b>100.2%</b>	<b>100.1%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>

\* Excluding Taxes and Arrears

**Table V3.2: 2014/15 GoU Expenditure by Item**

Billion Uganda Shillings	Approved Budget	Releases	Expend-iture	% Budget Released	% Budget Spent	%Releases Spent

# Vote: 147 Local Government Finance Comm

## QUARTER 4: Highlights of Vote Performance

<i>Billion Uganda Shillings</i>	Approved Budget	Releases	Expenditure	% Budget Released	% Budget Spent	% Releases Spent
<b>Output Class: Outputs Provided</b>	<b>4.26</b>	<b>4.27</b>	<b>4.27</b>	<b>100.2%</b>	<b>100.2%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>
211101 General Staff Salaries	1.12	1.12	1.12	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
211103 Allowances	0.34	0.34	0.34	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
212101 Social Security Contributions	0.09	0.09	0.09	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
213001 Medical expenses (To employees)	0.01	0.01	0.01	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
213002 Incapacity, death benefits and funeral expenses	0.01	0.01	0.01	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
213004 Gratuity Expenses	0.28	0.31	0.31	112.5%	112.5%	100.0%
221001 Advertising and Public Relations	0.01	0.01	0.01	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
221002 Workshops and Seminars	0.22	0.22	0.22	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
221003 Staff Training	0.05	0.05	0.05	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
221004 Recruitment Expenses	0.01	0.01	0.01	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
221006 Commissions and related charges	0.34	0.33	0.33	96.9%	96.9%	100.0%
221007 Books, Periodicals & Newspapers	0.01	0.01	0.01	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
221008 Computer supplies and Information Technology (IT)	0.01	0.01	0.01	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
221009 Welfare and Entertainment	0.02	0.02	0.02	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
221011 Printing, Stationery, Photocopying and Binding	0.01	0.01	0.01	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
221012 Small Office Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
221016 IFMS Recurrent costs	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
221017 Subscriptions	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
222001 Telecommunications	0.04	0.04	0.04	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
222003 Information and communications technology (ICT)	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
223003 Rent – (Produced Assets) to private entities	0.19	0.19	0.19	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
223005 Electricity	0.01	0.01	0.01	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
223006 Water	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
223901 Rent – (Produced Assets) to other govt. units	0.20	0.20	0.20	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
224004 Cleaning and Sanitation	0.02	0.02	0.02	91.9%	91.9%	100.0%
225001 Consultancy Services- Short term	0.38	0.38	0.38	100.0%	99.6%	99.6%
225002 Consultancy Services- Long-term	0.14	0.14	0.13	100.0%	99.0%	99.0%
227001 Travel inland	0.62	0.62	0.62	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
227002 Travel abroad	0.04	0.04	0.04	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
227004 Fuel, Lubricants and Oils	0.05	0.05	0.05	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
228002 Maintenance - Vehicles	0.06	0.05	0.05	81.6%	81.5%	99.9%
<b>Output Class: Capital Purchases</b>	<b>0.31</b>	<b>0.31</b>	<b>0.31</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>99.2%</b>	<b>99.2%</b>
231004 Transport equipment	0.27	0.27	0.27	100.0%	99.1%	99.1%
312204 Taxes on Machinery, Furniture & Vehicles	0.04	0.04	0.04	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Grand Total:</b>	<b>4.57</b>	<b>4.58</b>	<b>4.57</b>	<b>100.2%</b>	<b>100.1%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>
<b>Total Excluding Taxes and Arrears:</b>	<b>4.53</b>	<b>4.54</b>	<b>4.53</b>	<b>100.2%</b>	<b>100.1%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>

**Table V3.3: GoU Releases and Expenditure by Project and Programme\***

<i>Billion Uganda Shillings</i>	Approved Budget	Released	Spent	% GoU Budget Released	% GoU Budget Spent	% GoU Releases Spent
<b>VF:1353 Coordination of Local Government Financing</b>	<b>4.53</b>	<b>4.54</b>	<b>4.53</b>	<b>100.2%</b>	<b>100.1%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>
<i>Recurrent Programmes</i>						
01 Headquarters	4.26	4.27	4.27	100.2%	100.2%	99.9%
<i>Development Projects</i>						
0389 Support LGFC	0.27	0.27	0.27	100.0%	99.1%	99.1%
<b>Total For Vote</b>	<b>4.53</b>	<b>4.54</b>	<b>4.53</b>	<b>100.2%</b>	<b>100.1%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>

\* Excluding Taxes and Arrears

**Table V3.4: External Financing Releases and Expenditure by Project and Programme\***